

1	DAVID D. FISCHER, SBN 224900 LAW OFFICES OF DAVID D. FISCHER, APC 5701 Lonetree Blvd., Suite 312 Rocklin, CA 95765 Tel. (916) 447-8600 Fax (916) 930-6482	
2		
3		
4	E-mail: david.fischer@fischerlawoffice.com	
5	A., C. D. C. 1.	
6	Attorney for Defendant DANIEL STEWART	
7		
8	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
0		
1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:20-CR-0194 DJC
2	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT;
3	v.	FINDINGS AND ORDER
4	DANIEL STEWART LUKE BURROUGHS,	DATE: January 25, 2024
5	Defendants.	TIME: 9:00 a.m. COURT: Hon. Daniel J. Calabretta
6	Defendants.	
7	STIL	PULATION
8		
9		w move to continue the status conference and change of
20	plea hearing to February 1, 2024, at 9:00 a.m., and to exclude time between January 25, 2024, and	
21	February 1, 2024.	
22	3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:	
23	a) The government has produced discovery associated with this case that includes	
24	12793 Bates numbered documents. This includes bank records; memoranda of interviews, audio	
25		
26	recordings, and video recordings. The government has also provided forensic images of	
27	electronic devices to the defense.	
28	b) Counsel for defendant Daniel Stewart has a jury out in Placer County and is	
	unavailable to appear in court on January	25, 2024. The parties prefer that the defendants enter

1

STIPULATION RE: SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; [PROPOSED] FINDINGS AND ORDER

Case 2:20-cr-00194-DJC Document 62 Filed 01/24/24 Page 2 of 3

their changes of plea during the same proceeding. Additionally, because defense counsel for Mr. Stewart has been in trial, he requests additional time to consult with his client.

- c) Counsel for defendants believe that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of January 25, 2024 to February 1, 2024., inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendants' request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: January 24, 2024 PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney

/s/ JUSTIN LEE

JUSTIN LEE

Assistant United States Attorney

Dated: January 24, 2024

/s/ DAVID D. FISCHER

DAVID D. FISCHER

Counsel for Defendant

Daniel Stewart

Case 2:20-cr-00194-DJC Document 62 Filed 01/24/24 Page 3 of 3

Dated: January 24, 2024 /s/ CANDICE FIELDS CANDICE FIELDS Counsel for Defendant Luke Burroughs FINDINGS AND ORDER IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED this 24th day of January, 2024. /s/ Daniel J. Calabretta THE HONORABLE DANIEL J. CALABRETTA UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE